

1 MetaComparison

Given `IntList x`, an `IntList y`, and a `Comparator<Integer> c`, the `IntListMetaComparator` performs a comparison between `x` and `y`.

Specifically, the `IntListMetaComparator` performs a pairwise comparison of all the items in `x` and `y`. If the lists are of different lengths, the extra items in the longer list are ignored. Let α be the number of items in `x` that are less than the corresponding item in `y` according to `c`. Let β be the number of items in `x` that are greater than the corresponding item in `y` according to `c`. If $\alpha > \beta$, then `x` is considered less than `y`. If $\alpha = \beta$, then `x` is considered equal to `y`. If $\alpha < \beta$, then `x` is considered greater than `y`. For example:

```
Comparator<Integer> c = new FiveCountComparator(); //compares # of fives
IntList x = [ 55, 70, 90, 115, 5];                //e.g. 55 has 2 fives
IntList y = [150, 35, 215, 25];
IntListMetaComparator ilmc = new IntListMetaComparator(c);
ilmc.compare(x, y); // returns negative number
```

For the example above, according to the `FiveCountComparator`, we have that $55 > 150$, $70 < 35$, $90 < 215$, and $115 = 25$. This yields $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$, and thus `ilmc.compare` will return a negative number. Fill in the code below:

```

public class IntListMetaComparator implements Comparator<IntList> {
    -----

    public IntListMetaComparator(Comparator<Integer> givenC) {
        -----
    }

    /* Returns negative number if more items in x are less,
       Returns positive number if more items in x are greater.
       If one list is longer than the other, extra items are ignored. */
    public int compare(IntList x, IntList y) {
        if ((-----) || (-----)) {
            -----
        }
        -----

        if (-----) {

            return -----;

        } else if (-----) {

            return -----;

        } else {

            return -----;

        }
    }
}

```

```

import java.util.Comparator;

public class IntListMetaComparator implements Comparator<IntList> {
    private Comparator<Integer> givenC;

    public IntListMetaComparator(Comparator<Integer> givenC) {
        this.givenC = givenC;
    }

    /**
     * Returns a negative number if more items in x are less.
     * Returns a positive number if more items in x are greater.
     * If one list is longer than the other, extra items are ignored.
     */
    @Override
    public int compare(IntList x, IntList y) {
        if (x == null || y == null) {
            return 0;
        }

        int compValue = givenC.compare(x.first, y.first);

        if (compValue > 0) {
            return compare(x.rest, y.rest) + 1;
        } else if (compValue < 0) {
            return compare(x.rest, y.rest) - 1;
        } else {
            return compare(x.rest, y.rest);
        }
    }
}

```

2 Inheritance Syntax

Suppose we have the classes below:

```
public class ComparatorTester {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String[] strings = new String[] {"horse", "cat", "dogs"};
        System.out.println(Maximizer.max(strings, new LengthComparator()));
    }
}

public class LengthComparator implements Comparator<String> {
    @Override
    public int compare(String a, String b) {
        return a.length() - b.length();
    }
}

public class Maximizer {
    /**
     * Returns the maximum element in items, according to the given Comparator.
     */
    // public static <T> T max(T[] items, Comparator<T> c) {
    public static <String> String max(String[] items, Comparator<String> c) {
        ...
        int cmp = c.compare(items[i], items[maxDex]);
        ...
    }
}
```

- (a) Suppose we omit the `compare` method from `LengthComparator`. Which of the following will fail to compile?

- ☐ `ComparatorTester.java`
- ☐ `LengthComparator.java`
- ☐ `Maximizer.java`
- ☐ `Comparator.java`

LengthComparator, because it is claiming to be a **Comparator**, but it is missing a **compare** method.

- (b) Suppose we omit `implements Comparator<String>` in `LengthComparator`. Which file will fail to compile?

- ☐ `ComparatorTester.java`
- ☐ `LengthComparator.java`
- ☐ `Maximizer.java`
- ☐ `Comparator.java`

`ComparatorTester`, because we are trying to provide a `LengthComparator` (which isn't a `Comparator`) to the method `max`, which expects a `Comparator`.

`LengthComparator`, because `compare` is no longer overriding anything, thus causing the `@Override` to trigger a compiler error.

- (c) Suppose we removed `@Override`. What are the implications?

The code will work fine, but it's best practice to say "Override" to prevent typos and make our code more clear.

3 Iterator of Iterators

Implement an `IteratorOfIterators` which takes in a `List` of `Iterators` of `Integers` as an argument. The first call to `next()` should return the first item from the first iterator in the list. The second call should return the first item from the second iterator in the list. If the list contained `n` iterators, the `n+1`th time that we call `next()`, we would return the second item of the first iterator in the list.

Note that if an iterator is empty in this process, we continue to the next iterator. Then, once all the iterators are empty, `hasNext` should return `false`. For example, if we had 3 `Iterators` A, B, and C such that A contained the values [1, 3, 4, 5], B was empty, and C contained the values [2], calls to `next()` for our `IteratorOfIterators` would return [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

```
public class IteratorOfIterators _____ {
    private List<Iterator<Integer>> iterators;
    private int curr;
    public IteratorOfIterators(List<Iterator<Integer>> a) {
        iterators = new LinkedList<>();
        for (_____) {
            if (_____) {
                _____;
            }
        }
        curr = 0;
    }
    @Override
    public boolean hasNext() {
        return _____;
    }
    @Override
    public Integer next() {
        if (!hasNext()) { throw new NoSuchElementException(); }
        Iterator<Integer> currIterator = _____;
        int result = _____;
        if (_____) {
            _____;
            if (iterators.isEmpty()) {
                _____;
            }
        } else {
            curr = _____;
        }
        return result;
    }
}
```

Solution:

```
public class IteratorOfIterators implements Iterator<Integer> {
    private List<Iterator<Integer>> iterators;
    private int curr;

    public IteratorOfIterators(List<Iterator<Integer>> a) {
        iterators = new LinkedList<>();
        for (Iterator<Integer> iterator : a) {
            if (iterator.hasNext()) {
                iterators.add(iterator);
            }
        }
        curr = 0;
    }

    @Override
    public boolean hasNext() {
        return !iterators.isEmpty();
    }

    @Override
    public Integer next() {
        if (!hasNext()) {
            throw new NoSuchElementException();
        }
        Iterator<Integer> currIterator = iterators.get(curr);
        int result = currIterator.next();
        if (!currIterator.hasNext()) {
            iterators.remove(curr);
            if (curr >= iterators.size()) {
                curr = 0;
            }
        } else {
            curr = (curr + 1) % iterators.size();
        }
        return result;
    }
}
```

For this problem, we use the instance variable **iterators** to store all the iterators that still has elements. We use **curr** to indicate the next iterator to get the next element from. Therefore, in the constructor, we initialize **iterators** by iterating through the input list of iterators and adding the iterators that are not empty. We then initialize **curr** to 0. For the **hasNext()** method, we can test whether our list **iterators** is empty. For the **next()** method, we first check if there are any elements left to iterate through (throwing an error if we do not have). If there are, we get the current iterator and the next element from that iterator. If the iterator is empty, we remove it from the list of iterators. Otherwise, we increment **curr** to the next iterator. Notice that we do not increment **curr** if we remove an iterator from the list, as all the indices of the following iterators will shift by 1, and next iterator will take its place.